Production of Eco-Friendly Polyhydroxyalkanoates Using Waste Starch

Authors: Karlo Grgurević, Dajana Kučić Grgić, Martina Miloloža, Vesna Ocelić Bulatović











Financira Europska unija NextGenerationEU This research was carried out as part of the project "Production and development of compostable packaging from waste biomass for the packaging of industrially processed food products" (NPOO.C3.2.R3-II.04.0059) financed by the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (financed by the European Union, NextGenerationEU).



Table of content

1. Introduction

1.1. Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA)1.2. PHA production from agro-industrial waste in microorganism cell1.3. PHA biosynthesis

- 2. Materials and methods
- 3. Results and discussion
 - 4.1. Waste starch characterisation
 - 4.2. Microorganism isolation and identification
 - 4.3. Waste starch pretreatment optimization
 - 4.4. PHA extraction and purification
 - 4.5. PHA characterisation
- 4. Conclusion





1. Introduction



- synthetic polymers problems
- biodegradability
- polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA)
- @ agro-industrial waste- pretreatment
- # fermentation



1.1. Polihydroxyalkanoates, PHA



Diodegradable polyesters

microorganisms in stress conditions

- Martinus Beijerinck (1888), Maurice Lemoigne (1923)
- 150 different PHA kinds
- P3HB & P4HB



SEM photography of PHA granule in microorganisms' cells

1.2. PHA production from agro-industrial waste in microorganism cell



Agro-industrial waste classification

lignocellulose – cellulose, hemicellulose, lignin
 various nutrients → supstrate adequate for fermentation process

pH, temperature, moisture, reducing sugars content, C:N
 pretreatment is necessary



Lignocelulose structure



Waste pretreatment



"Solid – state fermentation" process

- pretreatment lignocelulose degradation, specific area increase, particle size decrease...
- @ SSF batch reactor



Cell separation and disruption, PHA extraction





2. Materials and methods



Waste starch characterisation

Waste starch

Starch pretreatment process optimisation and PHA production

Ultrasound (US) pretreatment od starch (US power, pretreatment time and NaOH concentration)

"Solid-state" fermentation

Reducing sugar content determination



Microorganism isolation and identification



Microorga isolation at

PHA extraction



Moisture, dry matter and volatile matter

content determination

Exp.	Time of US / min	US power / W mL ⁻¹	<i>c</i> (NaOH) / M
1	30	1	0,01
2	30	1	0,05
3	30	1	0,1
4	30	2	0,01
5	30	2	0,05
6	30	2	0,1
7	30	3	0,01
8	30	3	0,05
9	30	3	0,1
10	60	1	0,01
11	60	1	0,05
12	60	1	0,1
13	60	2	0,01
14	60	2	0,05
15	60	2	0,1
16	60	3	0,01
17	60	3	0,05
18	60	3	0,1
19	90	1	0,01
20	90	1	0,05
21	90	1	0,1
22	90	2	0,01
23	90	2	0,05
24	90	2	0,1
25	90	3	0,01
26	90	3	0,05
27	90	3	0,1

Waste starch characterisation

Waste starch

Starch pretreatment process optimisation and PHA production

Ultrasound (US) pretreatment od starch (US power, pretreatment time and NaOH concentration)

"Solid-state" fermentation

Reducing sugar content determination



Microorganism isolation and identification



Microorga isolation at

PHA extraction



Moisture, dry matter and volatile matter

content determination



4. Results and discussion



3.1. Waste starch characterisation

Table 3.1. Initial values of moisture and dry and volatile matter mass fraction in waste starch; pH and conductivity.

Sample	w (H2O) / %	w (DM) / %	w (VM) / %	pH-value	κ / μS cm ⁻¹
Starch	44,77	55,23	99,36	4,453	433

Table 3.2. CFU values taken from different nutrient supstrates.

Microorganism	CFU / cells g _{DM} ⁻¹
Bacteria (HA)	$1,45 \cdot 10^{8}$
Fungi (molds and yeasts) (SA)	$7,38 \cdot 10^{6}$
Bacteria and fungi (PHA)	$8,95 \cdot 10^{7}$



c / mmol L⁻¹ DNS calibration curve

 $c = 0,2253 \text{ mmol } \text{L}^{-1}$

3.2. Microorganism isolation and identification



3.3. Waste starch pretreatment optimisation

Pretreated starch characterisation

Table 3.3. Pretreated starch characterisation (before SSF process).

Exp.	w(H ₂ O) / %	w(DM) / %	w(VM) / %	pН	κ/ mS cm ⁻¹	γ(O ₂) / mg L ⁻¹	<i>T</i> / °C
1	14,60	85,40	99,65	9,590	0,206	8,07	22,6
2	14,42	85,58	98,74	10,256	1,693	8,11	23,3
3	19,30	80,70	97,79	10,385	2,480	8,08	23,5
4	14,53	85,47	99,68	9,807	0,379	8,02	23,8
5	18,00	82,00	98,85	10,214	1,541	7,97	23,9
6	35,99	64,01	98,23	10,373	2,200	7,96	23,8
7	19,12	80,88	99,50	9,845	0,404	7,90	23,8
8	43,13	56,87	99,05	10,236	1,557	7,95	24,1
9	58,47	41,53	98,78	10,034	1,726	7,58	24,2
10	16,72	83,28	99,56	9,733	0,282	8,08	23,1
11	18,08	81,92	98,66	10,262	1,742	8,10	23,1
12	26,28	73,72	97,92	10,270	1,553	7,99	23,6
13	17,72	82,28	99,57	9,534	0,315	7,83	24,0
14	17,65	82,35	98,71	10,107	1,438	7,86	24,2
15	64,56	35,44	97,66	10,152	1,995	7,48	24,5
16	16,68	83,32	99,68	9,508	0,215	7,63	24,7
17	18,27	81,73	98,78	10,147	1,605	7,87	24,2
18	72,45	27,55	98,95	10,017	1,520	7,56	24,4
19	17,48	82,52	99,61	9,425	0,357	7,97	23,8
20	17,69	82,31	98,66	10,038	1,665	7,92	24,0
21	10,24	89,76	97,52	10,343	3,010	7,94	24,0
22	16,41	83,59	99,63	9,228	0,319	7,91	24,1
23	17,29	82,71	98,78	9,908	1,600	7,89	24,0
24	76,12	23,88	98,59	10,035	3,090	7,85	24,1
25	15,97	84,03	99,66	10,261	0,288	7,79	24,3
26	16,53	83,47	98,75	10,033	1,691	8,02	24,0
27	13,01	86,99	96,66	9,898	1,780	7,82	23,9

Table 3.4. Initial CFU values of microbial suspension (cells mL^{-1}) and waste with added microbial suspension (cells g_{DM}^{-1}).

-	Suspensio	Suspension (initial)		waste (initial)
	HA	SA	HA	SA
Exp.	CFU / cells mL ⁻¹	CFU / cells mL ⁻¹	CFU / cells	CFU / cells g _{DM} ⁻¹
1	$2,67 \cdot 10^{9}$	$1,97 \cdot 10^{8}$	$8,00 \cdot 10^{7}$	$1,16 \cdot 10^{7}$
2	$2,78 \cdot 10^{9}$	$3,57 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3,40 \cdot 10^{7}$	$2,10 \cdot 10^{6}$
3	1,61 · 109	$2,29 \cdot 10^{7}$	$2,00 \cdot 10^{7}$	$6,10 \cdot 10^{6}$
4	$2,80 \cdot 10^{9}$	$3,22 \cdot 10^{7}$	$2,38 \cdot 10^{8}$	$3,20 \cdot 10^{7}$
5			$3,38 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3,36 \cdot 10^{8}$
6	$4,49 \cdot 10^{9}$	$1,70 \cdot 10^{8}$	$2,10 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3,68 \cdot 10^{8}$
7			$6,90 \cdot 10^{8}$	$3,92 \cdot 10^{8}$
8			$3,10 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3,40 \cdot 10^{6}$
9	2.64 . 109	9,04 · 10 ⁷	$1,11 \cdot 10^{8}$	$4,30 \cdot 10^{6}$
10	2,04 * 10		$2,80 \cdot 10^{8}$	$4,52 \cdot 10^{7}$
11			$4,96 \cdot 10^{8}$	$8,60 \cdot 10^{6}$
12		6,18 · 10 ⁸	$1,07 \cdot 10^{8}$	$1,40 \cdot 10^{7}$
13	$7.76 \cdot 10^{9}$		$6,00 \cdot 10^{8}$	$1,30 \cdot 10^{8}$
14	7,70 10		$4,20 \cdot 10^{8}$	$7,60 \cdot 10^{7}$
15			$7,10 \cdot 10^{8}$	$8,60 \cdot 10^{6}$
16			$2,28 \cdot 10^{9}$	$3,20 \cdot 10^{8}$
17	$4.35 \cdot 10^{9}$	$3.02 \cdot 10^8$	$9,20 \cdot 10^{8}$	$7,70 \cdot 10^{7}$
18	4,55 10	3,02 * 10*	$7,90 \cdot 10^{8}$	$1,06 \cdot 10^{7}$
19			$1,20 \cdot 10^{9}$	$2,56 \cdot 10^{8}$
20			$2,32 \cdot 10^{8}$	$3,20 \cdot 10^{6}$
21	$1.52 \cdot 10^{9}$	$6.96 \cdot 10^{8}$	$3,90 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3,30 \cdot 10^{6}$
22	1,52 10	0,70 10	$2,88 \cdot 10^{8}$	$5,30 \cdot 10^{6}$
23			$3,60 \cdot 10^{7}$	$4,40 \cdot 10^{6}$
24			$3,70 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3,90 \cdot 10^{6}$
25	$1.62 \cdot 10^{9}$	$4.29 \cdot 10^{8}$	$2,36 \cdot 10^{8}$	$1,06 \cdot 10^{8}$
26	1,02 10	1,22 10	$7,20 \cdot 10^{7}$	$2,06 \cdot 10^{7}$
27			$1,72 \cdot 10^{8}$	$8,00 \cdot 10^{6}$

Table 3.5. Mean values of absorbance andreducing sugars concentrations in pretreatedstarch before SSF process.

Exp.	ABS / -	<i>c</i> (reducing sugars) / mmol L ⁻¹
1	0,004	0,170
2	0,004	0,168
3	0,003	0,164
4	0,004	0,168
5	0,004	0,168
6	0,001	0,151
7	0,002	0,155
8	0,002	0,159
9	0,004	0,166
10	0,002	0,157
11	0,002	0,157
12	0,004	0,170
13	0,001	0,153
14	0,005	0,173
15	0,005	0,173
16	0,003	0,162
17	0,003	0,164
18	0,019	0,250
19	0,005	0,172
20	0,004	0,170
21	0,003	0,164
22	0,003	0,162
23	0,005	0,175
24	0,005	0,175
25	0,009	0,194
26	0,007	0,183
27	0,011	0,205

3.3. Waste starch pretreatment optimisation

Waste starch pretreatment optimisation for PHA production



Response surfaces for PHA accumulation in correlation with US power and pretreatment time (constant values of NaOH concentrations a) 0,01 mol L⁻¹; b) 0,05 mol L⁻¹; c) 0,1 mol L⁻¹).



Response surfaces for PHA accumulation in correlation with NaOH concentration and pretreatment time (constant values of US power a) 1 W mL⁻¹; b) 2 W mL⁻¹; c) 3 W mL⁻¹).

Table 3.6. Optimal conditions for starch pretreatmentresulting with the highest PHA accumulation value.

Time / min	US power / W mL ⁻¹	c(NaOH) / mol L ⁻¹
30,00	1,71	0,01

Table 3.7. Statistical data obtained with ANOVA analysis.

Standard deviation	0,042
Median	0,11
C. V. %	37,86
PRESS	0,073
R^2	0,9132
Adjusted R ²	0,8812
Estimated R ²	0,8131
Adequate precision	19,648

3.3. Waste starch pretreatment optimisation

Pretreated starch characterisation after SSF process

Table 3.8. Pretreated starch characterisation (before SSF process).

Exp.	w(H2O)sr. / %	w(DM) _{sr.} / %	w(VM)sr. / %	pН	κ / mS cm ⁻¹	γ(O ₂) / mg L ⁻¹	<i>T</i> / °C
1	14,60	85,40	99,65	10,214	0,457	8,30	21,8
2	14,42	85,58	98,74	10,625	2,910	8,29	21,8
3	19,30	80,70	97,79	10,650	2,950	7,30	21,8
4	14,53	85,47	99,68	9,717	0,430	4,58	21,8
5	18,00	82,00	98,85	10,553	2,350	8,35	22,4
6	35,99	64,01	98,23	10,662	3,030	8,32	22,4
7	19,12	80,88	99,50	10,022	0,496	7,70	22,3
8	43,13	56,87	99,05	10,390	2,320	7,97	23,0
9	58,47	41,53	98,78	10,677	5,600	7,98	23,0
10	16,72	83,28	99,56	9,919	0,424	6,50	23,3
11	18,08	81,92	98,66	10,109	1,963	1,78	23,3
12	26,28	73,72	97,92	10,540	3,280	5,96	23,3
13	17,72	82,28	99,57	8,851	0,444	2,22	23,2
14	17,65	82,35	98,71	10,522	2,280	7,91	23,3
15	64,56	35,44	97,66	10,454	2,970	6,20	23,4
16	16,68	83,32	99,68	5,633	0,411	3,30	22,8
17	18,27	81,73	98,78	9,359	1,091	3,78	22,6
18	72,45	27,55	98,95	7,495	2,240	4,28	22,8
19	17,48	82,52	99,61	6,075	0,353	3,40	22,7
20	17,69	82,31	98,66	9,866	1,138	6,10	22,9
21	10,24	89,76	97,52	10,271	2,430	7,27	22,7
22	16,41	83,59	99,63	7,267	0,324	1,74	22,8
23	17,29	82,71	98,78	9,841	1,264	6,24	22,8
24	76,12	23,88	98,59	10,314	3,980	6,02	24,0
25	15,97	84,03	99,66	9,457	0,247	6,12	24,2
26	16,53	83,47	98,75	9,771	1,233	7,01	23,8
27	13,01	86,99	96,66	9,807	3,160	5,53	24,1

Table 4.9. CFU values after 7 days of SSFprocess.

	Suspension + waste (final)					
	HA	SA				
Exp.	CFU / cells g _{DM} ⁻¹	CFU / cells g_{DM} -1				
1	8,16 · 10 ⁷	$1,84 \cdot 10^{7}$				
2	$5,73 \cdot 10^{7}$	$1,04 \cdot 10^{8}$				
3	$3,30 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3,95 \cdot 10^{7}$				
4	$2,32 \cdot 10^{9}$	$3,60 \cdot 10^{8}$				
5	$3,70 \cdot 10^{7}$	$2,80 \cdot 10^{7}$				
6	$3,10 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3,36 \cdot 10^{7}$				
7	$3,00 \cdot 10^{7}$	$5,90 \cdot 10^{6}$				
8	$4,00 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3,40 \cdot 10^{6}$				
9	$4,70 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3,30 \cdot 10^{6}$				
10	$9,80 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3,70 \cdot 10^{6}$				
11	$2,88 \cdot 10^{8}$	$9,60 \cdot 10^{6}$				
12	$3,10 \cdot 10^{7}$	$5,50 \cdot 10^{6}$				
13	$2,64 \cdot 10^{8}$	$4,00 \cdot 10^{7}$				
14	$1,06 \cdot 10^{8}$	$2,56 \cdot 10^{7}$				
15	$3,10 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3,30 \cdot 10^{6}$				
16	$6,90 \cdot 10^{8}$	$9,60 \cdot 10^{6}$				
17	$3,10 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3,20 \cdot 10^{6}$				
18	$5,00 \cdot 10^{8}$	$3,00 \cdot 10^{6}$				
19	$1,40 \cdot 10^{9}$	$6,80 \cdot 10^{7}$				
20	$7,10 \cdot 10^{7}$	$4,20 \cdot 10^{6}$				
21	$1,28 \cdot 10^{8}$	$3,50 \cdot 10^{6}$				
22	$4,53 \cdot 10^{8}$	$1,09 \cdot 10^{8}$				
23	$3,10 \cdot 10^{7}$	$4,00 \cdot 10^{6}$				
24	$3,90 \cdot 10^{8}$	$5,00 \cdot 10^{7}$				
25	$3,00 \cdot 10^{8}$	$6,50 \cdot 10^{6}$				
26	$3,90 \cdot 10^{8}$	$3,20 \cdot 10^{7}$				
27	$8,90 \cdot 10^{7}$	$3,30 \cdot 10^{6}$				

Table 4.10. Mean values ofabsorbance and reducing sugarsconcentrations in pretreated starchafter SSF process.

Exp.	ABS / -	c(reducing sugars) / mmol L ⁻¹
1	0,003	0,162
2	0,005	0,172
3	0,003	0,162
4	0,024	0,278
5	0,003	0,162
6	0,001	0,150
7	0,010	0,201
8	0,003	0,164
9	0,001	0,151
10	0,000	0,148
11	0,001	0,153
12	0,003	0,164
13	0,006	0,177
14	0,147	0,955
15	0,003	0,162
16	0,014	0,223
17	0,014	0,223
18	0,011	0,206
19	0,011	0,206
20	0,016	0,234
21	0,013	0,219
22	0,009	0,197
23	0,016	0,232
24	0,001	0,151
25	0,011	0,205
26	0,010	0,201
27	0,277	1,670

3.4. PHA extraction and purification

Table 3.11. Residual biomass and PHA accumulation values obtained after7 days of SSF process.

Exp.	Residual biomass/ g L ⁻¹	PHA accumulation / %
1	78,6510	0,0670
2	103,4366	0,3184
3	88,2315	0,1498
4	86,6649	0,5572
5	81,5187	0,1872
6	87,7520	0,2145
7	114,5493	0,0063
8	86,1329	0,0582
9	88,5636	0,0278
10	86,3361	0,0311
11	85,8451	0,0033
12	86,4187	0,0023
13	75,4795	0,0069
14	87,0705	0,0256
15	89,5635	0,0684
16	104,4491	0,0274
17	109,1973	0,0098
18	101,4261	0,0060
19	98,7314	0,0212
20	90,1461	0,1347
21	88,8875	0,0404
22	88,2411	0,0388
23	90,2490	0,0308
24	93,6751	0,1223
25	89,6794	0,0333
26	90,4475	0,0302
27	93,5773	0,5105

3.5. PHA characterisation



FTIR spectra of obtained PHAs after 7 days of SSF process in: a) exp. 1, 2 i 3; b) exp. 4, 5 i 6; c) exp. 7, 8 i 9; d) exp. 10, 11 i 12. spectra for PHA.

Bond structure	Resonance type	Wavenumber / cm ⁻¹	
O – H	Stretching	3200 - 3550	
CH ₂ , CH ₃	Stretching	2850 - 3000	
$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{O}$	Stretching	1715 – 1730	
C – H	Bending	1350 - 1500	
C – O	Stretching	990 - 1300	



3.5. PHA characterisation

TGA



Tablica 3.13. Value of specific mass alterations, temperatures measured at the beginning and at the end of PHA degradation process, temperatures measured at the highest speed of PHA degradation and inorganic residues for the first 6 experiments.

xp.	Δm_1 / %	Δ <i>m</i> ₂ / %	Δ <i>m</i> ₃ / %	Т _{роč.} / °С	T _{kon.} ∕ ℃	<i>T</i> ₁ (max.) / °C	<i>T</i> ₂ (mSax.) / °C	<i>T</i> ₃ (max.) / °C	Residue /%
1	7,58	47,25	23,89	164,24	510,67	59,14	287,07	439,22	6,44
2	9,79	64,74	7,40	167,57	587,03	59,90	294,14	449,05	9,11
3	7,20	43,28	8,86	165,59	552,97	59,70	273,27	441,37	7,34
4	9,57	72,76	/	161,82	596,46	59,65	292,13	/	10,79
5	8,82	47,63	10,67	180,96	559,28	59,31	268,35	435,66	14,96
6	8,11	45,97	8,15	182,89	526,97	59,26	270,29	450,99	15,87

Thermograms of PHA obtained after 7 days of SSF process in experiments: a) 1; b) 2; c) 3; d) 4; e) 5; f) 6.

3.5. PHA characterisation



DSC curves for PHA obtained after 7 days of SSF fermentations in experiments: a) 1; b) 2.

Tablica 3.14. Values of melting temperature, $T_{\rm m}$, glass transition temperature, $T_{\rm g}$ and melting entalpy $\Delta H_{\rm m}$ for PHA obtained in first 6 experiments.

Exp.	<i>T</i> g / °C	$T_{ m m}$ / °C	$\Delta H_{ m m}$ / J g ⁻¹
1	/	95,47	6,71
2	22,95	100,26	6,84
3	3,67	84,30	10,99
4	-10,05	/	/
5	/	115,30	4,19
6	-21,04	64,13	0,00



DSC curves for PHA obtained after 7 days of SSF fermentations in experiments: a) 3; b) 4; c) 5; d) 6.



4. Conclusion



Based on the scientific research of optimisation of PHA production from waste starch, the results have given the following conclusions:

- Combination of ultrasound and alkaline solution as a pretreatment method for waste starch has shown to be too intense, due to the possibility of ireverse starch gelatinisation occuring.
- The loss of moisture in starch structure after the pretreatment is a result of starch retrogradation, while increase in moisture occurs as a product of methabolic processes in microorganism cells.
- Starch contains low levels of reducing sugars due to polymer nature of starch, as well as due to technical reasons while coducting the experiments.
- Highest PHA accumulation was obtained in experiment 4 (0,5572 %, after 30 min of pretreatment, with the ultrasound power of 2 W mL⁻¹ and NaOH concentration of 0,01 mol L⁻¹), which correlates with the given experiment design.
- Low PHA accumulations are the result of low reducing sugar content, starch pretreatment method, higher pH value and using mixed culture for SSF process. vrijednosti, to je teže rukovati sa škrobom i manje su vrijednosti akumulacije PHA.
- Low oxygen saturation after the conducted SSF process is a result of microorganisms using oxygen for the fermentation process.
- Lower CFU value after the SSF process is due to higher pH values of the supstrate.
- FTIR analysis showed the presence of PHA in the final product, which is proven by the peaks in FTIR spectra for C–O, O–H and C=O bonds stretching, as well as –CH₃, –CH2– bonds bending and stretching in PHA molecules.
- TGA i DSC analyses confirmed the possible presence of homopolymers poly(hydroxybutyrate) (PHB) and poly(hydroxyvalerate) (PHV), copolymer poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) (PHBV) with different 3HB and 3HV fractions and different scl-PHA and mcl-PHA, such as PHB, PHV and poly(hydroxyhexanoate) (PHHx).
- FTIR, TGA and DSC analyses aren't completelly suitable for PHA identification; ¹H NMR ili GC analyses are mandatory.

Thank you!

